

LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI AND TERESA WINIARSKA\*  
ON NONLOCAL EVOLUTION  
FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL PROBLEM IN A  
BANACH SPACE

NIELOKALNE EWOLUCYJNE  
FUNKCJONALNO-RÓŻNICZKOWE ZAGADNIENIE W  
PRZESTRZENI BANACHA

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to prove two theorems on the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of a nonlocal semilinear functional-differential evolution Cauchy problem in a Banach space. The method of semigroups, the Banach fixed-point theorem and the Bochenek theorem (see [3]) about the existence and uniqueness of the classical solution of the first order differential evolution problem in a not necessarily reflexive Banach space are used to prove the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of the considered problem. The results are based on publications [1 — 8].

*Keywords: evolution problem, functional-differential problem, nonlocal problem*

Streszczenie

W artykule udowodniono dwa twierdzenia o istnieniu i jednoznaczności rozwiązań całkowych i klasycznych nielokalnego semiliniowego funkcjonalno-różniczkowego ewolucyjnego zagadnienia Cauchy'ego w dowolnej przestrzeni Banacha. W tym celu zastosowano metodę półgrup, twierdzenie Banacha o punkcie stałym i twierdzenie Bochenka [3] o istnieniu i jednoznaczności klasycznego rozwiązania ewolucyjnego zagadnienia różniczkowego pierwszego rzędu w niekończenie refleksywnej przestrzeni Banacha. Artykuł bazuje na publikacjach [1 — 8].

*Słowa kluczowe: zagadnienie ewolucyjne, zagadnienie funkcjonalno-różniczkowe, zagadnienie nielokalne*

\*Institute of Mathematics, Cracow University of Technology, Poland; lbyszews@usk.pk.edu.pl, twiniars@usk.pk.edu.pl

## 1. Preliminaries

In this paper, we prove two theorems on the existence and uniqueness of mild and classical solutions of a semilinear functional-differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem using the method of semigroups, the Banach fixed-point theorem and the Bochenek theorem (see [3]) about the existence and uniqueness of the classical solution of the linear first-order differential evolution problem in a not necessarily reflexive Banach space.

Let  $E$  be a real Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $A : E \rightarrow E$  be a closed densely defined linear operator. For an operator  $A$ , let  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $\rho(A)$  and  $A^*$  denote its domain, resolvent set and adjoint, respectively.

For Banach space  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  denote the set of closed linear operators from  $E$  into itself.

We will need the class  $G(\tilde{M}, \beta)$  of operators  $A$  satisfying the conditions:

There exist constants  $\tilde{M} > 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$(C_1) \quad A \in \mathcal{C}(E), \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} = E \text{ and } (\beta, +\infty) \subset \rho(-A),$$

$$(C_2) \quad \|(A + \xi)^{-k}\| \leq \tilde{M}(\xi - \beta)^{-k} \text{ for each } \xi > \beta \text{ and } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We will use the assumption:

**Assumption (Z).** The adjoint operator  $A^*$  is densely defined in  $E^*$ , i.e.  $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A^*)} = E^*$ .

It is known (see [5], p. 485 and [7], p. 20) that for  $A \in G(\tilde{M}, \beta)$  there exists exactly one strongly continuous semigroup  $T(t) : E \rightarrow E$  for  $t \geq 0$  such that  $-A$  is its infinitesimal generator and

$$\|T(t)\| \leq \tilde{M}e^{\beta t} \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume  $(C_1)$ ,  $(C_2)$  and assumption (Z).

In this paper, we assume that  $t_0 > 0$ ,  $a > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= [t_0, t_0 + a], \quad \Delta := \{(t, s) : t_0 \leq s \leq t \leq t_0 + a\}, \\ M &:= \sup_{t \in [0, a]} \|T(t)\|, \\ X &:= \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, E) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &: \mathcal{J} \times E^{m+1} \rightarrow E, \quad F_2 : \Delta \times E^2 \rightarrow E, \quad \tilde{G} : X \rightarrow E, \\ f &: \Delta \times E \rightarrow E, \quad \sigma_i : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \end{aligned}$$

are given functions satisfying some assumptions.

The functional-differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem considered here is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) + Au(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), u(\tilde{\sigma}_1(t)), \dots, u(\tilde{\sigma}_m(t))) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t F_2(t, s, u(s), \int_{t_0}^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau)ds, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(t_0) + \tilde{G}(u) = u_0, \quad (1.3)$$

where  $u_0 \in E$ .

To study problem (1.2)–(1.3) we will need some information related to the following linear problem:

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = k(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u(t_0) = x \quad (1.5)$$

and the following definition:

A function  $u : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow E$  is said to be a classical solution of problem (1.4)–(1.5) if

- (i)  $u$  is continuous and continuously differentiable on  $\mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $u'(t) + Au(t) = k(t)$  for  $t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}$ ,
- (iii)  $u(t_0) = x$ .

To study problem (1.2)–(1.3) we will also need the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (see [3]). *Let  $k : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow E$  be Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .*

*Then  $u$  given by the formula*

$$u(t) = T(t - t_0)x + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)k(s)ds, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \quad (1.6)$$

*is the unique classical solution of the Cauchy problem (1.4)–(1.5).*

## 2. On mild solution

A function  $u : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow X$  satisfying the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t - t_0)u_0 - T(t - t_0)\tilde{G}(u) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)F_1(s, u(s), u(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s)))ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s) \left( \int_{t_0}^s F_2(s, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^\tau f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu)d\tau \right) ds, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{aligned}$$

is said to be a mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that*

(i) *for all  $z_i \in E$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ), the function*

$$\mathcal{J} \ni t \mapsto F_1(t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in E \quad \text{is continuous,}$$

*for all  $z_i \in E$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), the function*

$$\Delta \ni (t, s) \mapsto F_2(t, s, z_1, z_2) \in E \quad \text{is continuous,}$$

*for all  $z \in E$ , the function*

$$\Delta \ni (t, s) \mapsto f(t, s, z) \quad \text{is continuous,}$$

$$\tilde{G} : X \rightarrow E, \quad \sigma_i \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad \text{and } u_0 \in E.$$

(ii) *there are constants  $L_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_1(t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) - F_1(t, \tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_m)\| \leq \\ & \leq L_1 \sum_{i=0}^m \|z_i - \tilde{z}_i\| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathcal{J}, \quad z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in E \quad (i = 1, \dots, m); \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_2(t, s, z_1, z_2) - F_2(t, s, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)\| \leq L_2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \|z_i - \tilde{z}_i\| \\ & \quad \text{for } (t, s) \in \Delta, \quad z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in E, \quad (i = 1, 2); \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(t, s, z) - f(t, s, \tilde{z})\| \leq L_3 \|z - \tilde{z}\| \\ & \quad \text{for } (t, s) \in \Delta, \quad z, \tilde{z} \in E; \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left\| \tilde{G}(w) - \tilde{G}(\tilde{w}) \right\| \leq L_4 \|w - \tilde{w}\| \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X; \quad (2.4)$$

(iii)  $M[L_1 a(m+1) + L_2 a^2(1 + L_3 a) + L_4] < 1$ .

Then the nonlocal problem (1.2)–(1.3) has a unique mild solution in  $\mathcal{J}$ .

*Proof.* Introduce an operator  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $X$  by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{F}w)(t) & := T(t - t_0)u_0 - T(t - t_0)\tilde{G}(w) + \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s)F_1(s, w(s), w(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, w(\sigma_m(s)))ds + \\ & + \int_{t_0}^t T(t - s) \left( \int_{t_0}^s F_2(s, \tau, w(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, w(\mu))d\mu)d\tau \right) ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for  $w \in X$  and  $t \in \mathcal{J}$ .

It is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{F} : X \rightarrow X. \quad (2.6)$$

Now, we will show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a contraction on  $X$ . For this purpose, observe that from (2.5), (1.1) and (2.1)–(2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathfrak{F}w)(t) - (\mathfrak{F}\tilde{w})(t)\| \leq ML_4 \|w - \tilde{w}\| + \\ & + ML_1 \int_{t_0}^t \left( \|w(s) - w(\tilde{s})\| + \sum_{i=1}^m \|w(\sigma_i(s)) - \tilde{w}(\sigma_i(s))\| \right) ds + \\ & + ML_2 \int_0^t \left( \int_0^s (\|w(\tau) - \tilde{w}(\tau)\| + \right. \\ & + \left. \int_{t_0}^{\tau} \|f(\tau, \mu, w(\mu)) - f(\tau, \mu, \tilde{w}(\mu))\| d\mu) d\tau \right) ds \leq \\ & \leq ML_4 \|w - \tilde{w}\| + ML_1 a(m+1) \|w - \tilde{w}\| + \\ & + ML_2 \int_0^t \left( \int_{t_0}^s [\|w(\tau) - \tilde{w}(\tau)\| + L_3 \int_{t_0}^{\tau} \|w(\mu) - \tilde{w}(\mu)\| d\mu] d\tau \right) ds \leq \\ & \leq q \|w - \tilde{w}\| \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$q := M(L_1 a(m+1) + L_2 a^2(1 + L_3 a) + L_4).$$

Then, by (2.7) and by assumption (iii),

$$\|\mathfrak{F}w - \mathfrak{F}\tilde{w}\| \leq q \|w - \tilde{w}\| \quad \text{for } w, \tilde{w} \in X \text{ with } 0 < q < 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Consequently, from (2.6) and (2.8), operator  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies all the assumptions of the Banach contraction theorem. Therefore, in space  $X$  there is only one fixed point of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and this point is the mild solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3). So, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.  $\square$

### 3. On classical solution

A function  $u : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow E$  is said to be a classical solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3) on  $\mathcal{J}$  if :

- (i)  $u$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{J}$  and continuously differentiable on  $\mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}$ ,
- (ii)  $u'(t) + Au(t) = F_1(t, u(t), u(\sigma_1(t)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(t))) + \int_{t_0}^t F_2(t, s, u(s), \int_{t_0}^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau)) d\tau) ds, t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}$ ,
- (iii)  $u(t_0) + \tilde{G}(u) = u_0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that assumptions (i)–(iii) of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3) has a unique mild solution on  $\mathcal{J}$ , denoted by  $u$ . Assume, additionally, that:*

(i)  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $\tilde{G}(u) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ;

(ii) there are constants  $C_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_1(t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m) - F_1(\tilde{t}, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m)\| &\leq C_1 |t - \tilde{t}| \\ \text{for } t, \tilde{t} \in \mathcal{J}, z_i \in E \ (i = 0, 1, \dots, m) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_2(t, s, z_1, z_2) - F_2(\tilde{t}, s, z_1, z_2)\| &\leq C_2 |t - \tilde{t}| \\ \text{for } (t, s) \in \Delta, (\tilde{t}, s) \in \Delta, z_i \in E \ (i = 1, 2); \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

(iii) there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\sigma_i(t)) - u(\sigma_i(\tilde{t}))\| &\leq c \|u(t) - u(\tilde{t})\| \\ \text{for } t, \tilde{t} \in \mathcal{J} \ (i = 0, 1, \dots, m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Then  $u$  is the unique classical solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3) on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

*Proof.* Since all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3) possesses a unique mild solution which, according to the assumption, is denoted by  $u$ .

Now we will show that  $u$  is the unique classical solution of the problem (1.2)–(1.3) on  $\mathcal{J}$ . To this end, introduce

$$N_1 := \max_{s \in \mathcal{J}} \|F_1(s, u(s), u(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s)))\| \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$N_2 := \max_{(\xi, \eta) \in \Delta} \left\| F_2(\xi, \eta, u(\eta), \int_{t_0}^{\eta} f(\eta, \mu, u(\mu)) d\mu) \right\|, \quad (3.5)$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& u(t+h) - u(t) = \\
& = T(t-t_0)(T(h) - I)u_0 - T(t-t_0)(T(h) - I)\tilde{G}(u) + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t+h-s)F_1(s, u(s), u(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s)))ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)\left(F_1(s+h, u(s+h), u(\sigma_1(s+h)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s+h))) - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - F_1(s, u(s), u(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s)))\right)ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^{t_0+h} T(t+h-s)\left(\int_{t_0}^s F_2(s, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu)d\tau\right)ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)\left(\int_{t_0}^s (F_2(s+h, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu) - \right. \\
& \quad \left. - F_2(s, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu))d\tau\right)ds + \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)\left(\int_s^{s+h} F_2(s+h, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu)d\tau\right)ds
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + a)$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + a]$ .

Consequently by (3.6), (1.1) and (3.1)–(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq hM \|Au_0\| + hM \|A\tilde{G}(u)\| + \\
& + hMN_1 + ahML_1 + ML_1 \int_{t_0}^t \left( \|u(s+h) - u(s)\| + \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u(\sigma_i(s+h)) - u(\sigma_i(s))\| \Big) ds + a^2ML_2h + 2aMN_2h \leq \\
& \leq Ch + ML_1(1+mc) \int_{t_0}^t \|u(s+h) - u(s)\| ds
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + a)$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + h]$ , where

$$C := M\left(\|Au_0\| + \|A\tilde{G}(u)\| + N_1 + aL_1 + a^2L_2 + 2aN_2\right).$$

From (3.7) and Gronwall's inequality,

$$\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq Ce^{aML_1(1+mc)}h$$

for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h]$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $t+h \in (t_0, t_0 + a]$ .

Hence  $u$  is Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathcal{J}$ .

The Lipschitz continuity of  $u$  on  $\mathcal{J}$  and inequalities (3.1), (2.1), (3.2) imply that the function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} \ni t \mapsto k(t) &:= F_1(t, u(t), u(\sigma_1(t)), \dots, \sigma_m(t)) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t F_2(t, s, u(s), \int_{t_0}^s f(s, \tau, u(\tau))d\tau)ds \in E \end{aligned}$$

is Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathcal{J}$ . This property of  $t \mapsto k(t)$  together with assumptions of Theorem 3.1 imply, by Theorem 1.1, by Theorem 2.1 and by the definition of the mild solution from Section 2, that the linear Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) + Av(t) &= k(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{t_0\}, \\ v(t_0) &= u_0 - \tilde{G}(u) \end{aligned}$$

has a unique classical solution  $v$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= T(t-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)\tilde{G}(u) + \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)k(s)ds = \\ &= T(t-t_0)u_0 - T(t-t_0)\tilde{G}(u) + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s)F_1(s, u(s), u(\sigma_1(s)), \dots, u(\sigma_m(s)))ds + \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t T(t-s) \left( \int_{t_0}^s F_2(s, \tau, u(\tau), \int_{t_0}^{\tau} f(\tau, \mu, u(\mu))d\mu)d\tau \right) ds = \\ &= u(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $u$  is the unique classical solution of the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3) on  $\mathcal{J}$ . Therefore, the proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Balachandran K., Ilamaram S., *Existence and uniqueness of mild and strong solutions of a semilinear evolution equation with nonlocal conditions*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **25.4**, 1994, 411–418.
- [2] Balasubramaniam, P. Chandrasekaran, M. *Existence of solutions of nonlinear integrodifferential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions in Banach space*, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **46**, 1998, 1–13.
- [3] Bochenek J., *The existence of a solution of a semilinear first-order differential equation in a Banach space*, *Univ. Iag. Acta Math.*, **31** 1994, 61–68.



- [4] Byszewski L., *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **162.2** 1991, 494—505.
- [5] Kato T., *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg 1966.
- [6] Kołodziej K., *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear functional-differential evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, *JAMSA*, **13.2** 2000, 171–179.
- [7] Pazy A., *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [8] Winiarska T., *Differential Equations with Parameters*, Monograph 68, Cracow University of Technology 1988.



