MAGNÚS SNÆDAL University of Iceland, Reykjavík hreinn@hi.is

GOTHIC BANJA*, WINJA AND SUNJA1

Keywords: etymology, word formation, Gothic, Old Norse, West-Germanic, Germanic

Abstract

The present paper discusses the etymology of three Gothic nouns: $banja^*$ 'sore', winja 'pasture', and sunja 'truth'. Each of them has a cognate in Old Norse: ben 'fatal wound', vin 'oasis' and syn 'refusal'. None of the West-Germanic languages preserves all three nouns. All are short, feminine $j\bar{o}$ -stems with an -n- in front of the stem suffix. The main issue discussed here is the etymology and formation of these nouns.

Introduction

The present paper deals with the etymology of three Gothic nouns: $banja^*$ 'sore', winja 'pasture', and sunja 'truth'. Each of these nouns has a cognate in Old Norse: ben 'fatal wound', vin 'oasis' and syn 'refusal'. None of the West-Germanic languages preserves all three nouns as will be evident later on. All three are short, feminine $j\bar{o}$ -stems (although ON ben can also be neuter and have the meaning 'small wound' outside legal vocabulary). The main issue discussed here is the etymology and derivation of these nouns. It is undisputed that Go. sunja is derived from the zero grade of the root of the verb 'to be', but a disputable, unique sound change is generally assumed to have been at work in this noun (see section 3).

Meid (Krahe, Meid 1967: 119–122) mentions some feminine nouns containing the Proto-Germanic suffix *- $nj\bar{o}$ with a "connecting vowel" ("Bindevokal"), u or i, e.g. Go. *Saurini* (gen. *-jos) 'Syrian woman; Σύρα' from *Saur* 'Syrian; Σύρος', and

An earlier Icelandic version of this paper was read at the University of Iceland in Reykjavík on 23 April 2012. I thank my colleague, Jón Axel Harðarson, for his extensive comments on the Icelandic version.

OIc. *Fjörgyn* (gen. -*jar*) 'Mother-Earth' (otherwise the weak form of the suffix is used, -*ynja*, e.g. *ljónynja* 'lioness'), possibly from *Fjörgynn* m. 'name of a heathen god'. According to Chantraine (1979: 107), relics of this formation are also found in Gr. $\pi \acute{o} \tau \nu i \alpha$ 'goddess' < PIE **pótnih*₂ from PIE **póti-* 'lord, husband', Gr. $\pi \acute{o} \sigma \iota \varsigma$ (Beekes 2010: 1226–1227), Skt. *indrāṇī* 'Indra's wife' from *indraḥ*, and Slav. *bogynji* 'goddess', from *bogŭ* 'god' (e.g. Russ. *δοεὐμя*). Meid also mentions that it is uncertain whether the suffix was found in Proto-Germanic without a connecting vowel (cf. also Casaretto 2004: 330–332).

Obviously, in the nouns under discussion the suffix is not used to form a feminine noun from a thematic masculine noun (expressing semantic relations of the type 'goddess' ← 'god'). Here, whether the Gothic nouns banja*, winja, and sunja are all derived from the zero grade of the respective roots with the Proto-Germanic suffix *-njō will be examined. This termination was almost certainly two suffixes originally, PIE *-(e)n-jeh2. Therefore, the nouns discussed possibly derived from a case form of an old *n*-stem having the zero grade of both root and suffix by adding to it the PIE suffix *- $ieh_2 > *-i\bar{a} > PGmc. *-j\bar{o}$ to a stem form CC-n-. Then, the connecting vowel *u* in ON *syn* – and similarly in *Fjörgyn* – has its origin in a syllabic nasal, PGmc. * $sunj\bar{o}$ < PIE * h_isnieh_2 . On the other hand, the remaining two nouns, banja* and winja, were derived without a connecting vowel, as their roots ended in a vowel (after losing a laryngeal), so the nasal did not become syllabic. Lastly, Go. Saurini must have been derived from Saur through a (hypothetical) weak masculine noun Saura, i.e.: Saur → *Saura m. 'the Syrian one', dat. *Saurin, gen. *Saurins \rightarrow Saurini. The connecting vowel i is a part of the stem suffix -in-, a descendant of PIE *-en-.

The discussion in the present paper focuses on the nouns in the following table:

PGmc.	*banjō	*winjō	*sunjō
Go.	banja*	winja	sunja
ON	ben	vin	syn
OE	benn		synn
OS	[beni-]		sunnia
OHG		[winne]	sunna

1. Go. banja* 'sore, wound; πληγή, ἕλκος'

The Gothic noun banja* has its cognates in ON ben and OE ben(n) '(fatal) wound'; the latter is confined to poetic language. The same stem is found in OS beniwunda* 'wound (to the bone)' (Heliand 4879; the wound of Malkus Jh 18:10). PGmc. *banjō did not survive in Old High German. The ON noun bani m. 'death, killer' and the verb bana 'to kill, sly', along with their West-Germanic cognates, will be discussed below. Two more related nouns should also be mentioned, OS banethi

'death, murder' (Heliand 5484; *binithion* dat. pl. 4865) and ON *bend* 'wound' < PGmc. **banipō*. Most likely these nouns derived from a PGmc. weak verb, **ban-jōn-* or **ban-jan-* 'to wound', cf. ON *benja* and OE *bennian*.

Gothic $banja^*$ with its cognates could derive from PGmc. * $banj\bar{o}$ but the PIE form is more difficult to determine. Actually, it has not been ruled out that this noun is from the specifically Germanic vocabulary, although that observation is of little help. Some scholars tried to connect Go. $banja^*$ to the PIE root * b^hen - with the meaning 'sicken', cf. Lehmann (1986: 61 B23). According to Pokorny (1959: 126), the meaning of this root was 'to wound', but Magnússon (1989: 40 [bani]) lists the meaning as 'to hit', cf. Barr (1971: 41 [bano]). It has been questioned whether this root existed in Proto-Indo-European, as it is found only in Avestan. Interestingly, Kroonen (2013: xxviii, 51) has restored this etymology, PGmc. * $banj\bar{o}$ < PIE * b^honih_2 , rejecting Seebold's hypothesis, outlined in the next paragraph.

Seebold (1967: 113-114, 115; 1980: 477-478) tried to connect Go. banja* with the PIE root *guhen- 'to beat, to kill'. Casaretto (2004: 152) agrees with that connection. She refers to LIV: 75, where the connection of Go. banja* with PIE? *bhen 'sicken' is rejected but reference is made to the PIE root *guhen-. In that location it is pointed out that Lloyd, Springer (1988: 460-462) reject Seebold's (1980: 448) hypothesis that PIE g^{uh} and g^{hu} became b in Proto-Germanic unless u followed; then the outcome was undoubtedly g, e.g. OIc. gunnr 'battle'. In the referred location, LIV: 218-219 Go. banja* is not mentioned. Actually, Seebold's hypothesis has older roots, as it could be maintained that Grimm (1852: 82) was the first to propose this idea, even if he was not aware of it himself. He equated Go. banja* with Gr. φόνος and φονή 'murder'. Later, Schade (1882: 39 [bano]) took up this idea. Indeed, Seebold (1980: 450-451, 465, 476) also gives an overview of the discussions so far of the fate of the PIE voiced, aspirated labiovelars in Germanic. The conclusion that they changed to labials is disputed. Normier (Schmidt, Strunk 1989: 273) and Hartmann (2013) are in favour of a revised version of Seebold's theory. On the other hand, Witczak (2012: 88) concludes that PIE guh became PGmc. g when the accent followed; elsewhere it became PGmc. w. Here I will stick to the last hypothesis, as it is more attractive. Thus, as a possible etymology will be suggested for Go. banja*, ON ben etc., the initial b- in these and related words is proclaimed to have its origin in PIE b^h . However, a reliable cognate outside Germanic remains to be found.

The basis of Go. $banja^*$ could be a PIE root of the type $*b^heH$ -, zero grade $*b^ha$ -. In LIV: 68–69 there is the PIE root $*b^h\acute{e}h_2$ -, zero grade $*b^hh_2$ -, with the meaning 'shine, light, glow', cf. Pokorny (1959: 104). Let us assume that from this root a noun was formed with the compound suffix $*-(e)n-\underline{i}eh_2$ -. PGmc. $*banj\bar{o}$ could have emerged from PIE $*b^hh_2-\acute{e}n-\underline{i}eh_2$. This implies that the noun in question possibly derived from a case form of an old (and lost) n-stem that had the zero grade of the root but the full grade of the suffix (cf. Kroonen 2011: 33–34). The laryngeal, having coloured the e, was lost, which gives us $*banj\bar{a} > \text{PGmc.} *banj\bar{o}$. Actually, the form $*b^han$ -could have developed from one such case form, i.e. the zero grade of the root and suffix with the accent on the second suffix, $*b^hh_2n-\underline{i}\acute{e}h_2$ -. There are more possibilities here with the e- or o-grade of the root or suffix. The combination VHR ultimately gave

a short syllable, so it is possible to reconstruct PIE $*b^heh_2nih_2$. In the combination VH, the vowel is shortened (or not lengthened) ahead of $\underline{}$ RV, e.g. *suH-nu- *su-nu- > Go. sunus 'son'; *uiH-ro- *ui-ro- > Go. wair 'man' (Dybo's Law; cf. Schrijver 1991: 351–356). Thus, when the laryngeals disappeared from PIE $*b^heh_2nih_2$, the resultant form entered the class of short $j\bar{o}$ -stems.

According to *LIV*: 69 a homophonous root existed with the meaning 'to speak, to tell'. That meaning is held to have grown out of the former, as both roots are morphologically identical. The meaning development is thought to have been 'shine' \rightarrow *'make bright' \rightarrow *'make clear' \rightarrow 'speak' (German: 'leuchten' \rightarrow *'hell machen' \rightarrow *'klar machen' \rightarrow 'sprechen'). Beekes (2010: 1551–1552 [φ ά σ ς]) also mentions this possibility, as does Mayrhofer (1993: 259–260), under the root $bh\bar{a}$ 'shining, beaming', which is possibly connected with other roots in Sanskrit, bhan 'speak' and $bh\bar{a}$, 'tell' (possibly connected with ON banna 'forbid' and $b\phi n$ 'prayer').

The meaning 'shine, light, glow' appears not to fit very well to 'sore' or 'wound'. But maybe PGmc. *banjō originally had the meaning 'a clean wound'. In Old Icelandic we have the phrase að fægja sár 'to polish a wound'. That which has been polished shines – and 'a clean wound shines', a doctor confirmed to me.² This could be connected with the reconstructed meaning variants *'make bright' and *'make clear', which could possibly have been used to describe the action 'to polish a wound'. It is also possible that PGmc. *banjō was used as a euphemism or taboo for a fatal wound, but ultimately 'sore, wound' became its meaning. OE benn, in poetic language, means 'fatal wound', as does ben in Old Norse legal vocabulary.

Now, some more nouns, derived from the same root as *banjo, should be discussed shortly: ON bani 'death, killer', OE bana 'killer, murderer, death', OS/OHG bano 'killer, murderer, executioner' and OHG f. bana (2×) 'death, execution'. Most likely these words did not derive directly from the root b^heh_2 . Rather, an *n*-stem was derived from its zero grade with the zero grade of the suffix, i.e. ${}^*b^h h_2 - n$ -, although the e- or o-grade cannot be excluded, i.e. b^hh_2 -én-, b^hh_2 -ón-. Supposedly, all these forms, if they entered Proto-Germanic, should have changed to *ban-, a form that cannot be divided into root and suffix, and is confined to the Germanic languages. It is possible that *ban-jō was a Proto-Germanic derivation of this new root, as it has no Proto-Indo-European cognate. The same applies to the weak masculine noun *ban-an-, which is found in ON bani etc. PGmc. *banjō 'wound' has – at least in Old Norse and Old English – developed the meaning 'fatal wound'. This meaning, one could maintain, appears to be the premise for the derivation of ON bani, etc., because the original meaning of the root would give *banan- the meaning 'the shining one, the clear one'. But if we are ready to accept this as a reference to the deathly pale colour of a corpse, 'the cadaverous one' (i.e. death), no such premise is needed. A further derivate from PGmc. *ban- is the verb *ban-on- 'to kill, to sly', ON bana. The meaning 'death' in ON bani involves a personification, so it could rather easily be used as an agent noun with the meaning 'killer'.

I thank my friend, the physician Örn Bjarnason, for useful discussion about wounds and other medical issues that turned up here.

To close this discussion, it should be mentioned that Orel (2003: 35 [*banj \bar{o}]) derives *banj \bar{o} from *banan-, but he does not make clear whether he assumes the PIE root to be * $g^{uh}en$ - 'to beat, to kill' (e.g. Seebold 1980: 451) or not. As was made clear above, the relations with this root are disputed, and if the PIE root * b^hen - existed, it did not have this meaning, as we saw in the beginning.³

Finally, a few words concerning the meaning of Go. $banja^*$. It occurs three times in the Gothic corpus. In two instances (Luke 16:20, 21, "The Rich Man and Lazarus") clearly open ulcers and boils are spoken of, even with exudation, Gr. $\mathcal{E}\lambda\kappa\sigma\varsigma$:

- (1) Lk 16:20: banjo fulls 'full of ulcers' ἡλκωμένος
- (2) Lk 16:21: hundos ... bilaigodedun banjos is 'dogs ... were licking his ulcers' κύνες ... ἐπέλειχον τὰ ἕλκη αὐτοῦ
- (3) Lk 10:30 banjos analag[jandans] 'inflicting blows' πληγὰς ἐπιθέντες.

In the third instance (Luke 10:30, "The Good Samaritan") the Gothic phrase banjos analag[jandans] is a translation of the Greek phrase $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\dot{\alpha}\varsigma$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau\epsilon\varsigma$ ('inflicting blows'), so, at a glance, these are not necessarily (open) wounds but could be bruises. In verse 34, which is lost from the Gothic version, we read $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{\epsilon}\delta\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ $\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\rho\alpha\dot{\nu}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ $\alpha\nu\tauo\tilde{\nu}$ 'bound down his wounds'. So, as only open wounds need to be bound down, one can infer that open wounds are meant. It is possible that Wulfila chose $banja^*$ to stress that understanding. In any case, he did not choose Go. $slahs^*$ 'blow', a noun that is used twice as a rendering of the Gr. $\pi\lambda\eta\gamma\dot{\eta}$ (2Cor 6:5, 11:23) but that also has the meaning 'plague; $\mu\dot{\alpha}\sigma\tau\dot{\xi}$ '. It is important and should be stressed that Go. $banja^*$ did **not** have the meaning 'fatal wound'.

2. Go. winja 'pasture; νομή'

The Gothic noun *winja* is ἄπαξ λεγόμενον. It is attested solely in John 10:9:

(4) winja bigitiþ 'finds pasture' νομὴν εὑρήσει.

Winja has a cognate in the Modern Icelandic feminine noun vin 'oasis'. In Old High German dictionaries we find winne* (1×) 'pasture', but it is rare and only found in place names, according to Köbler (1993: 1274 [winne*]) and Trier (1963: 110). This noun is not found in the other WestGermanic languages. As a matter of fact, in Old Norse, vin is only used in place names, e.g. Björgvin 'Bergen' etc. (see Jansson 1951), ignoring two obscure compounds occurring in Old Norwegian legal vocabulary, vin(j)artoddi (Ólafs saga hins helga: 73) and vinjarspann (Frostaþingslög, Norges Gamle Love intil 1387 I: 257). Hence, it is not known how old the meaning 'oasis'

Obviously, if Seebold's hypothesis is accepted, the possibility arises that ON ben and bani are not derived from the same root: Possibly, then, ben etc. is derived from *bheh2-, but bani etc. from *guhen-.

⁴ Trier (1963: 109) gives *vinjartoddi* the meaning, 'Abgabe für die Nutzung eines Grasplatzes', and compares it with MHG *wunnemiete*. The context is indecisive but this is not a bad guess; 'pasture charge, pasture duty'.

is in Icel. *vin*, but the first occurrences in print are from the first half of the 20th century (Written Language Database at the Árni Magnússon Institute for Icelandic studies). In these cases, the noun is used without any explanation, so, apparently, it was known to the general public. Here PGmc. *winjō can be reconstructed but further etymology is uncertain.

Pokorny (1959: 1146) connects PGmc. *winjō with the PIE root *uen- (1), *uenə- 'desire, wish, love, get, win', cf. Magnússon (1989: 1138 [1 vin]) and Lehmann (1986: 404 W69). The following words are considered to have derived from the same root as Icel. vin: ON vinr 'friend', von 'hope', and una 'be happy'; OHG wunna 'joy, desire' and OE wynn and OS wunnia 'delight, pleasure, desire'. Casaretto (2004: 155–156), on the other hand, expresses some doubts about these relations. Below a new – and better – etymology will be suggested.

The meaning 'grassy spot (in a desert)' appears to be confined to Icel. *vin* but the corresponding nouns in Gothic (and Old High German) simply mean 'pasture'. When it is assumed (e.g. Magnússon 1989: 1138 [*1 vin*]) that this noun originally had the meaning 'likeable place', it is an attempt to unite these meanings. Actually, Icel. *vin* does not have the meaning 'likeable place' in general. The place has to be delimitated and in contrast to its (barren) surroundings, i.e. 'oasis' in a direct or transferred sense.

When OHG wunna is given the meaning 'pasture', it is based solely on the fact that in Middle High German there existed the phrase wunne und weide, where wunne has replaced winne, according to Pokorny (1959: 1147). Kluge (1995: 897 [Wonne]) has the following remark: "Das deutsche Wort bedeutet auch eine Art Weide, nach Trier die 'Laubweide' (das frische Baumgrün, das von den Tieren besonders gern gefressen wird)." Trier (1963: 79–82) explains that wunne was 'pasture on leaf', but weide was 'pasture on grass', and he (1963: 95) stresses that wunne was not 'Weide'. Trier's (1963: 110) conclusion is that the meaning of the two nouns, winne and wunne, had become so similar that it was impossible to keep them apart, but the wunne form survived. This means that in Old High German, winne also had the meaning 'pasture on leaf', and Trier (1963: 117–118) tries to connect this noun with Skt. vanam 'tree', an idea found already with Lidén (1903/4: 27), cf. Lehmann (1986: 400 W52). However, the meaning 'pasture on leaf' is found neither in Gothic nor in Old Norse.

Even though HG Wonne 'happiness, pleasure' can also be used for what is called Laubweide 'pasture on leaf', it has not, thereby, become equal to Icel. vin. Rather, the pasture on leaf is called wunna in OHG because domestic animals find happiness, pleasure, etc., in it. Nothing said so far involves OHG wunna is necessarily being derived from the same root as Icel. vin. The meanings Köbler (1993: 1294 [wunna*]) lists with wunna are 'Wonne, Freude, Lust, Glück, Seligkeit, Wollust, Nutzung (?), Ergötzung, Genuss, Vergnügen'. As can be seen, 'Weide' is not amongst them. On the other hand, meaning of delight is quite clear. To stress that, OHG wunnigarto 'park, paradise' can be pointed out.

It is a bit romantic to suppose that Go. winja – Icel. win had the basic meaning 'likeable place' and that they derived from the root *uen-, as did ON von 'hope', vinr 'friend', yndi 'joy' and others. This idea can be found as early as in the middle of

the 19th century. Munch (1849: X–XI) mentions that *vin* is quite common in place names, continuing:

Det svarer ganske til det gotiske *vinja*, som hos Ulfilas bruges i Betydningen "Græsgang", og til det angelsaxiske *vynn*, det oldtydske *wunna*, hvilke dog kun anvendes i Betydningen "Velbehag", det nyere tydske "Wonne". Ordet har altsaa aabenbart Hensyn til en Tid, da Fædriften udgjørde vore Forfædres fornemste Levevej, og da den ypperste Græsgang tillige var det behageligste Sted. Hvilken af begge Betydninger er den oprindelige, lader sig vanskeligt afgjøre; men tager man Hensyn til Ligheden, og vel derfor ogsaa Slægtskabet med Ordet *vinr v:* "Ven", skulde man dog nok helde til den Mening, at Ordet egentlig har betegnet "Velbehag", "behageligt Sted", og at betydningen "Græsgang" er afledet.⁵

When looking for a new etymology for Go. *winja* and Icel. *vin*, one can stop with the PIE root * $\mu e i$ -/* μi -, meaning 'twist, bend, turn', according to Pokorny (1959: 1120–1121), Magnússon (1989: 1114 [$1 \ veggur$]), and Orel (2003: 441 [*wajjuz]); * $\mu e i H$ -/* $\mu i H$ -, according to Jasanoff (1978: 84); * $\mu e i h_1$ -/* $\mu i h_1$ - 'to wrap, encase', according to LIV: 695; and * $\mu h_1 i$ -, according to Kroonen (2013: 568 [*wajju-]), cf. Casaretto (2004: 199) and Lehmann (1986: 386 W1). So, then, Go. winja, Icel. vin < PGmc. * $winj\bar{o}$ derived from a case form of an old n-stem that had a zero grade of the root and the suffix, PIE * $\mu i h_1$ -n- $i e h_2$ — actually the same root as in Go. -waddjus, ON veggr 'wall' < PGmc. *wajjuz < PIE * $\mu o i h_1$ -us. Kroonen (2013: 568) assumes PIE * $\mu h_1 i$ -u- with a syllabic laryngeal for PGmc. *wajju-. It is possible that PIE * $\mu h_1 i$ -n-> * $uh_1 i$ -n-; if the most vowel-like sounds became syllabic but the less vowel-like remained non-syllabic, then the laryngeal was lost without traces, yielding * μi -n- etc.

Interestingly, Lehmann (1986: 400 W52; cf. Feist 1939: 559–560 [weipan]) also chooses the root *μei- with the 'root enlargement' -b-, *μei-b-, when he explains the Gothic verb weipan* 'to crown; στεφανοῦν'. The Gothic nouns wipja and waips*, both meaning 'crown; στέφανος', derived from weipan*. They are used for the thorny crown (e.g. in John 19:5). The first shows a zero grade but the second shows an o-grade. In LIV: 671, this is found under the root *μeip- 'get into swinging/shivering movement'. Another variant of the root enlargement, -p-, is thought to be present in Go. biwaibjan* 'surround, clothe' and ON veifa 'be in swinging movement'. In LIV the words just mentioned are not assumed to be connected with the same root as Go. -waddjus and ON veggr. Nevertheless, this assumption is tempting, as the meaning resemblance is great. We can assume that the root had a laryngeal, *μoih₁-, to account for the 'Verschärfung' in Go. -waddjus and ON veggr,

Translation [MSn]: It corresponds exactly to Gothic *winja*, which by Ulfilas is used in the meaning 'pasture', and to the OE *wynn*, the OHG *wunna*, although these are only used in the meaning 'joy', the Modern German 'Wonne'. So, the word has evidently hindsight to the time when the sheep-breeding was our forefather's most preferable livelihood, and when the best pasture also was the most likable place. Which of the two meanings is the original one is difficult to decide; but if one takes notice of the similarity and, therefore, also the relationship with the noun *vinr v*: 'friend', one should indeed cling to the opinion, that the word has literally signified 'joy', 'likable place', and that the meaning 'pasture' is derived.

and with the root enlargement -p-/-b-, e.g. in weipan*, and an n-stem with the zero grade in the root and suffix, resulting in PGmc. *wi-n- $j\bar{o}$ in Go. winja and Icel. vin. It should also be mentioned that PIE * μ ih₁nih₂ should give PGmc. *winj \bar{o} -, as the root vowel is shortened or not lengthened in this position (Dybo's Law; cf. Schrijver 1991: 351–356). Thus, the conclusion would be the same whether the root ended in a laryngeal or not.

The PGmc. *wajjuz 'wall' was supposedly a twisted wall originally. Then, by extension, it came to denote a wall of stone as well (Go. baurgswaddjus 'townwall'). The original meaning of PGmc. *winjō could have been 'delimited area', or perhaps 'enclosure'. It is also possible that in the beginning it denoted a fence, but then its meaning was extended to include the fenced in area. Probably, it also denoted an enclosure in nature, made by rock, etc. Still, it is impossible to say how Icel. vin got its modern meaning 'oasis'.

Actually, though, a similar explanation appears for Vries (1961: 664 [vin]), whereby he finds it possible that PIE *uen- had the meaning 'fence', and with a dental enlargement it created *uendh-, which is found in ON vinda 'to wring', cf. Trier (1963: 113–114). The problem is that the meaning 'fence, wall' is not easily assigned to the root *uen-.

3. Go. *sunja* 'truth; ἀληθεῖα'

There is no disagreement about the origin in this case. Here we have a derivation from the zero grade of the PIE root * h_1es 'to be'. It appears to be straightforward that PGmc. * $sunj\bar{o} < \text{PIE } *h_1snjeh_2$ gave us Go. sunja 'truth', ON syn 'denial, refutation' (also the goddess name Syn; in Modern Icelandic, syn is only found in the compound $nau\delta syn$ 'necessity'), OE synn 'offence, sin, hostility', OS sunnia 'truth, distress, illness' and OHG sunna (2×) 'truth, excuse, justification, legitimate hindrance'. However, it is usually maintained that Go. sunja etc. derived from the same protoform as OHG sunta and OS sundia 'sin', i.e. PGmc. * $sun\delta ij\bar{o} - < \text{PIE } *h_1sntih_2$.

To connect OE *synn* and OHG *sunta*, Kluge (1881: 106) first floated the hypothesis that OE *synn* 'sin' was created from PWGmc. **sundjō*, which had the cluster -*ndj*- in all inflectional forms; thus, -*ndj*- became -*nn*-. On the other hand, the nom. sg. of OE *bend* 'band, fetters' was originally **bandī*, gen. sg. **bandjōs*. In this paradigm, then, *nd*+V and *nd*+*j* alternated, and ultimately, the alternation created two variants, OE *bend* and *benn*. The latter form could have easily merged with the homophonous OE *benn* 'soar' (< **banjō*; see section 1). The form *benn*- occurs a few times where *bend*- would be expected (see below). A few years later, Kluge (1885: 444, cf. 1901: 379) altered the hypothesis so that he also reconstructed the nom. sg. as PGmc. **sundī*. From the oblique cases in that paradigm we got Go. *sunja* and OE *synn* because of syncope or assimilation of the dental between *n* and *j*. OHG *sunta* (and OS *sundia*), on the other hand, generalised the nominative form of the stem,

⁶ Kluge (1881: 106) also claims that inflectional form of OE *benn* 'wound' with *nd* appear to occur. He does not give references as to where.

so the paradigm ultimately ended up as two separate words: OHG *sunta* and *sunna*, OS *sundia* and *sunnia*. Helten (1905) points out that the premise for a change such as the syncopation of d between n and j is that Sievers' Law had ceased to work, i.e. the Proto-Germanic difference between the $j\bar{o}$ -stems and the $ij\bar{o}$ -stems had disappeared: PGmc. *bandī then had the gen. sg. form *bandjōs but not *bandijōs. This must have happened before the difference between the nom. sg. of short and long $j\bar{o}$ -stems disappeared in West Germanic. Otherwise, the stem form of the nom. sg. of the long stems could not be different from the stem form of the oblique cases.

Accepting this involves the conclusion that words showing the cluster -ndj- were either created after the change had occurred or owes the cluster to analogy. As an example, Go. bandi 'fetters; $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \delta c$ ' should have the form *banjos in the gen. sg. The actual form, bandjos, has been influenced by the nominative. This explanation has been long-lived. Thus, Seebold (1969: 20; cf. also 1980: 452) accepts it, but he admits that it is "nicht streng lautgesetzlich", and actually, the word in question, Go. sunja, is "das einzig völlig sichere Beispiel für den Schwund eines Dentals zwischen n und j" (Seebold 1980: 452), cf. Lehmann (1986: 329 [S163]). Casaretto (2004: 440) maintains that Go. sunja shows, in the oblique cases, "Dentalschwund vor *-j-" almost as though this is a regular sound change. She also agrees with the idea that here one paradigm became two. But the meaning 'sin' or the like is absolutely not the meaning of Go. sunja or ON syn.

Kieckers (1928: 80–81) appears to be the only to express doubt about the explanation just drafted. He mentions the possibility that Go. *sunja* was created by the loss of a dental between n and j but considers this uncertain. He points to Go. *sandjan* 'to send' as an exception that has to be younger than the loss of the dental, but such exceptions would be numerous. For example, *nehvundja* 'fellowman' and *pusundi* 'thousand' should have lost the d in the oblique cases, and actually, it should have been lost from the whole paradigm of the former word. Kieckers's point is important. The traditional explanation of Go. *sunja* has the effect that forms such as *sandjan* 'send' and *bandjos* need an explanation, which they do need not if the theory on the *-ndj-* cluster is abandoned, i.e. it is necessary to explain why there are still word forms with the cluster *-ndj-*; to assume a loss from PGmc. 'sunjō only would be hard to support. Here the desire to connect Go. *sunja* with Skt. *satya-* 'true' and OHG *sunta* has been decisive.

High German appears to have lost the noun sunna, perhaps because it overshadowed 'the sun', OHG sunna. Similarly, the process $syn \rightarrow synja$ 'to deny' $\rightarrow synjun$ 'denial' in Icelandic has rendered syn superfluous; synjun has taken its place. OE synn developed the meaning 'sin' (and lives forth in English sin). Thereby, the Old English cognate of OS sundia and OHG sunta became superfluous and was subsequently lost.

The possibility should not be excluded that Proto-Germanic contained both forms, *sunj\(\bar{o}\) < PIE * h_1 s- η -ie h_2 and *sun\(\delta\) (obl. *sun\(\delta\)ij\(\bar{o}\)-) < PIE * h_1 s- η t-ih₂. The former

⁷ Brugmann (1897: 707–708) mentions some examples that are meant to support this but they are hard to accept.

could have derived from an old *n*-stem with a zero grade of the root and suffix, just like *banjō and *winjō. The latter is an old present participle that did not enter into Gothic or North-Germanic (as ON *synd* 'sin' is generally considered a loan word). The West-Germanic forms with -nn- have the geminate as a result of the gemination before -j- (Brunner 1965: 186-187; Braune, Eggers 1975: 94-98; Gallée 1910: 157, 182). Therefore, there is no reason to assume a loss of a dental or its assimilation to n to account for them. Thus, Campbell (1959: 237) says that OE synn comes from *suniō. Brunner (1965: 159) mentions only OE synne 'sin' as an example when he discusses the loss of d. Sometimes d also drops from the ending of the present participle (Brunner 1965: 279). Brunner refers, on this connection, to Malone (1929), who only discusses the sporadic loss of d finally and in a syllable with secondary stress. On the other hand, Campbell (1959: 196) says that the change -nd- > -nn- occured in Old English only four times in the forms of the noun bend 'fetters', i.e. nom. pl. benne (1×) and dat. pl. bennum (3×). It should be mentioned that in Bessinger (1978: 111) the first occurrence (Daniel 434) has been corrected to bende, but the rest has benn kept without conjecture (Genesis 1972; Andreas 962, 1038; Juliana 519). This implies that the meaning 'wound' is not considered impossible in these cases, although the meaning 'fetters' would be much more likely. See also Wülker (1888: 49, note on Andreas 1038.)

The main thing is that there is no need for the traditional explanation. In the Old High German form *sunna*, the *-nn-* could very well be a regular offspring of PGmc. *-nj-* in *sunjō. According to Köbler (1993: 1050 [sunna (1)]), OHG sunna had the meanings 'Wahrheit, Entschuldigung, Rechtfertigung, gesetzlich anerkannter Hinderungsgrund'. This is in good agreement with Go. sunja 'truth' and ON syn. Also according to Köbler (1993: 1050 [sunta (1)]), OHG sunta had the meanings 'Sünde, Vergehen, Laster, Schuld, Schandtat'. Clearly, the meanings of the two nouns do not overlap, as would be expected if they had grown out of the same paradigm. Summing up, Go. sunja, ON syn, OE synn, OS sunnia and OHG sunna most likely derived from PGmc. *sunjō. On the other hand, OS sundia and OHG sunta (older suntea) are descendants of WGmc. *sunðijō-, which is absent in other Germanic languages. However, it was, most likely, borrowed into Old Norse.

4. Conclusion

The conclusion is that all three nouns – Go. $banja^*$, winja, and sunja – could have derived from a case form of an old n-stem with a zero grade of both the root and the suffix. To this the additional suffix *- $j\bar{o}$ was added. The basis of *banja is probably the same, but an e- or o-grade would give the same result: PIE * b^hh_2 -n- or * b^hh_2 -en- or * b^hh_2 -en- or * b^hh_2 -en- > PGmc. *ban-. Also, *ban- became the root of ON bani and its West-Germanic cognates (and the ON verb bana). As mentioned in the beginning, the suffix *- $n\underline{i}eh_2$ was originally a combination of two forms. Perhaps they never melted into a whole. The roots of banja*, winja and sanja are found outside Germanic, but probably not these specific derivations.

Go. winja and Icel. vin are derived from the same root as PGmc. *wajjuz 'wall'. Then, OHG sunta and OS sundia descended from PGmc. *sunðijō-. In Old High German this noun has also taken the nominative ending of the ō-stems; the regular form would be sunte. The derivation of Go. sunja, ON syn, OE synn(e), OS sunnia and OHG sunne from PGmc. *sunðijō- lacks evidence. The geminate -nn-in the West-Germanic forms is the result of a well-established West-Germanic rule. Also, the Gothic and Old Norse forms are best understood as descendants of PGmc. *sunjō.

The derivation and development of the nouns discussed here can be said to be regular; the outcome is in accordance with well-established rules and principles connecting Proto-Indo-European and Proto-Germanic.

References

Barr S. 1971. An etymological glossary to the Old Saxon Heliand. Berne.

Beekes R.S.P. 2010. *Etymological dictionary of Greek*. [vol. 1–2]. Leiden.

Bessinger J.B. (ed.). 1978. A concordance to the Anglo-Saxon poetic rercords. Ithaca, London. Braune W., Eggers H. 1975. "Althochdeutsche Grammatik" von W. Braune. [13. Auflage bear-

Braune W., Eggers H. 1975. "Althochdeutsche Grammatik" von W. Braune. [13. Auflage bearbeitet von H. Eggers]. Tübingen.

Brugmann K. 1897. *Grundriss der vergleichenden Grammatik der indogermanischen Sprachen* [...]. [1: Einleitung und Lautlehre. Zweite Bearbeitung]. Strassburg.

Brunner K. 1965. Altenglische Grammatik. [Dritte, neubearbeitete Auflage]. Tübingen.

Campbell A. 1959/2003. Old English Grammar. Oxford.

Casaretto A. 2004. Nominale Wortbildung der gotischen Sprache. Die Derivation der Substantive. Heidelberg.

Chantraine P. 1979. La formation des noms en Grec ancien. Paris.

Feist 1939 = Lehmann 1986.

Gallée J.H. 1910. *Altsächsische Grammatik*. [Zweite völlig umgearbeitete Auflage]. Halle, Leiden.

Grimm J. 1852. SCADO. – Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung 1: 79–83.

Hartmann M. 2013. Anlautendes idg. * g^{wh} - und * \hat{g}^{o} $\dot{\mu}$ - im Germanischen. – *International Journal of Diachronic Linguistics and Linguistic Reconstruction* 10: 1–25.

van Helten W. 1905. Grammatisches. LXVIII. Zu germ. $-n\dot{t}$ (woraus $-nn\dot{t}$) aus -n+t (oder daraus entstandenen dental) $+\dot{t}$. – Beiträge zur Geschichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur 30: 248–251.

Jansson V. 1951. Nordiska vin-namn. En ortnamnstyp og dess historia. A.-B. Uppsala, København.

Jasanoff J. 1978. Observations on the Germanic Verschärfung. – *Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft* 37: 77–90.

Kieckers E. 1928. Handbuch der vergleichenden gotischen Grammatik. München.

Kluge F. 1881. Anglosaxonica. – Anglia 4: 105–106.

Kluge F. 1885. Sprachhistorische Miscellen. 12. Etymologien. – Beiträge zur Gesichte der deutschen Sprache und Literatur 10: 443–445.

Kluge F. 1901. 2. Vorgeschichte der altgermanischen Dialekte. – Paul H. (ed.). *Grundriss der germanischen Philologie*. [vol. 1; Zweite verbesserte und vermehrte Auflage]. Strassburg: 320–496.

Kluge F. 1995. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch der deutschen Sprache*. [Bearbeitet von E. Seebold; 23, erweiterte Auflage]. Berlin, New York.

- Köbler G. 1993. Wörterbuch des althochdeutschen Sprachschatzes. Paderborn, München, Wien, Zürich.
- Krahe H., Meid W. 1967. *Germanische Sprachwissenschaft*. [vol. 3]. [Wortbildungslehre von W. Meid]. Berlin.
- Kroonen G. 2011. *The Proto-Germanic* n-stems. A study in diachronic morphophonology. Amsterdam, New York.
- Kroonen Gus. 2013. Etymological dictionary of Proto-Germanic. Leiden.
- Lehmann W.P. 1986. *A Gothic etymological dictionary*. [Based on the third edition of Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Gotischen Sprache by Sigmund Feist]. Leiden.
- Lidén E. 1903/4. Blandade språkhistoriska Bidrag I. 2 Slav. *lěsŭ* feng. *læs. Göteborgs Högskolas Årsskrift* 10.1: 25–31.
- LIV = Lexikon der indogermanischen Verben. Die Wurzeln und ihre Primärstammbildungen. 2001. [Zweite, erweiterte und verbesserte Auflage, bearbeitet von M. Kümmel und H. Rix]. Wiesbaden.
- Lloyd A.L., Springer O. 1988. *Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Althochdeutschen I.* Göttingen. Magnússon Á.B. 1989. *Íslensk orðsifjabók*. Reykjavík.

Malone K. 1929. Notes on Beowulf. - Anglia 53: 335-336.

Mayrhofer M. 1993. Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. [vol. 2]. Heidelberg.

Munch P.A. 1849. Historisk-geographisk Beskrivelse over Kongeriget Norge (Noregsveldi) i Middelalderen. Moss.

Norges Gamle Love intil 1387 [...]. 1846. [Utgivne ved R. Keyser og P.A. Munch. Förste Bind]. Christiania.

Ólafs saga hins helga [...]. 1922. [Ved O.A. Johnsen]. Christiania.

Orel V. 2003. A handbook of Germanic etymology. Leiden, Boston.

Pokorny J. 1959. *Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch*. [1. Band]. Bern, München.

Schade O. 1882. *Altdeutsches Wörterbuch*. [vol. 1]. [Zweite umgearbeitete und vermehrte Auflage (Neudruck)]. Halle a. d. S.

Schmidt K. T. & Strunk K. 1989. Toch. B *kwipe* "Scham; Schande", A *kip* "Scham" und Germ. **wība*- "Weib". K. Heller, O. Panagl und J. Tischler (ed.): *Indogermanica Europaea*. Fest-schrift für W. Meid zum 60. Geburtstag am 12. 11. 1989, pp. 251–284. Graz.

Schrijver P. 1991. *The reflexes of the Proto-Indo-European laryngeals in Latin*. Amsterdam, Atlanta GA.

Seebold E. 1967. Die Vertretung idg. g^uh im Germanischen. – Zeitschrift für vergleichende Sprachforschung auf dem Gebiete der indogermanischen Sprachen 81: 104–133.

Seebold E. 1969. Germanisch *sanp-/sund-, seiend, wahr'. – Die Sprache 15: 14–45.

Seebold E. 1980. Etymologie und Lautgesetz. Materialsammlung: Die Vertretung von anlautend idg. g^uh und $gh\mu$ im Germanischen. – Mayrhofer M., Peters M., Pfeiffer O.E. (eds.). *Lautgeschichte und Etymologie*. [Akten der VI. Fachtagung der Indogermanischen Gesellschaft, Wien, 24.–29. September 1978]. Wiesbaden: 431–484.

Trier. J. 1963. Venus: Etymologien um das Futterlaub. Köln, Graz.

Vries J. de. 1961. Altnordisches etymologisches Wörterbuch. Leiden.

Witczak K.T. 2012. Indo-European *guh in Germanic. – Lingua Posnaniensis 54.2: 83–90.

Wülker R.P. 1888. *Bibliothek der angelsächsischen Poesie*. [Begründet von Ch.W.M. Grein, neubearbeitet [...] von R.P. Wülker. II. Band. – 1. Hälfte]. Kassel.