

**"ARCHEION" JOURNAL
REVIEW FORM**

Attachment No. 1 to the Agreement no. ... /2022 of 2022

TITLE OF THE REVIEWED PAPER:

REVIEWER:

(Name and surname; degree or academic title)

.....

(Academic body where the reviewer is employed, or other affiliation)

I agree / I do not agree to have my name placed on the published list of reviewers collaborating with the "Archeion" journal (*delete as appropriate*).

I hereby undertake that I will not use the knowledge regarding the reviewed paper before its publication in the "Archeion" journal.

.....

Date

.....

Name and surname of the reviewer

**"ARCHEION" JOURNAL
REVIEW FORM**

Please provide a substantive opinion on the attached paper, submitted for publication in the "Archeion" journal:

TITLE OF THE REVIEWED PAPER:

"Archeion" journal utilises the Crossref Similarity Check anti-plagiarism system with the *iThenticate* software. The reviewed paper has undergone the above anti-plagiarism procedure.

General assessment of the paper

Please enter an appropriate number of points in the table against each item. Detailed comments are not required.

No.	CRITERIA – QUESTIONS	Scoring scale: 1 - Yes 2 - Needs correction or addition 3 - No
1	Is the title of the paper worded accurately and corresponds to the content? Comments:	
2	Is the purpose of the paper clearly stated and achieved? Comments:	
3	Are the research methods identified and are they appropriate for the chosen research objective? Comments:	
4	In terms of content, does the paper represent a new approach and contribute to the development of archival science or archival practice? Comments:	
5	Is the layout of the paper and its internal structure appropriate? Comments:	
6	Is the content of the paper presented in a comprehensible way? Comments:	
7	Is the selection of sources and literature complete? Comments:	
8	Are the formal aspects of the paper (correctness of language, writing technique, cross-references, bibliography notation) appropriate? Comments:	

**"ARCHEION" JOURNAL
REVIEW FORM**

REVIEWER'S CONCLUSIONS (PLEASE UNDERLINE AS APPROPRIATE)

1. The paper is accepted for publication as submitted.
2. The paper may be published on condition that corrections are made, including (please underline as appropriate or provide reference to comments in the table above):
 - rewording
 - shortening
 - expanding
 - complementation of the bibliography
 - other amendments (please specify:)
3. The paper is not acceptable for publication as submitted.

GENERAL COMMENTS OF THE REVIEWER

.....