

ACTA ARCHAEOLOGICA CARPATHICA

Reviewer Evaluation Questionnaire

Article title:

1. Subject, article content, composition

- excellent.....
- good.....
- requires corrections / abbreviations
- bad.....

2. Quality of applied methods:

- good.....
- sufficient.....
- insufficient.....

3. Level of use of literature on the subject:

- excellent.....
- appropriate.....
- sufficient.....
- insufficient.....

4. Innovativeness:

- high.....
- sufficient.....
- slight.....

5. Significance of obtained results:

- high.....
- sufficient.....
- slight.....

6. Language accuracy, style:.

- high.....
- sufficient.....
- insufficient.....

7. Illustrations number, quality and adequacy:

- excellent.....
- good.....
- sufficient.....
- bad.....

8. Summary evaluation:

- excellent work.....
- suitable for publication.....
- slight changes are necessary.....
- not suitable for publication.....

